



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/691,222		10/21/2003	Yihong Gong	CA1216	9532		
23493	7590	12/14/2004		EXAMINER			
SUGHRU		-	HESSELTINE, RYAN J				
401 Castro				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Mountain	View, C	A 94041-2007		ARTONII	FAFER NUMBER		
				2623	2623		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summers	10/691,22	2	GONG ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ryan J He		2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 18-30 and 48-72 is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
· —	')☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 18-30 and 48-72 are subject to restr	riction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certifi	led copies not receive	<b>:</b> 0.					
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Infor	ie of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	8)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)				

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 18-24, 27-30, 48-54 and 57-64, drawn to a method and computer readable medium containing a program for segmenting an input video sequence, classified in class 382, subclass 232.
  - II. Claims 25, 26, 55 and 56, drawn to a method and computer readable medium containing programs for determining a similarity between first and second frames in an input video sequence, classified in class 382, subclass 168.
  - III. Claims 65-72, drawn to calculating a degree of visual changes in a video shot, and calculating an evenness of color distributions in a video shot, classified in class 382, subclass 236.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions in Group II and Group I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination (Group II) does not contain a limitation for segmenting an input video sequence into a plurality of video shots according to a computed similarity. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method for segmenting an input video sequence into a plurality of video shots.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,222 Page 3

Art Unit: 2623

3. Inventions in Group II and Group III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as a method for determining a similarity between a first frame and a second frame in an input video sequence, and invention III has separate utility such as methods of calculating a degree of visual changes and an evenness of color distributions in a video shot. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II or III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Groups I or II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Frank L. Bernstein on December 8, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even if the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Objections

Application/Control Number: 10/691,222 Page 4

Art Unit: 2623

9. Claims 49-54, 56, 57 and 61 are objected to because of the following informalities: these claims are directed to a computer readable medium, but all depend from method claims (18-22 and 25). It appears that these claims are duplicates of those that depend from the method claims but the dependencies were not updated when they were copied. Appropriate correction is required.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan J Hesseltine whose telephone number is 703-306-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703-308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan J. Hesseltine December 9, 2004

JINGGEWU JINGGEWU JINGGEWAMHER